

PERMANENCE OF A LOTKA-VOLTERRA RATIO-DEPENDENT PREDATOR-  
PREY MODEL WITH FEEDBACK CONTROLS AND PREY DIFFUSION

Shuang Pan<sup>1</sup>, Yonghong Li<sup>2\*</sup>, Changyou Wang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Automation, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065 P. R. China

<sup>\*1,2,3</sup>Institute of Applied Mathematics, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065  
P.R. China

**\*Corresponding Author:-**

---

**Abstract:-**

*A three species multi-delay Lotka-Volterra ratio-dependent predator-prey model with feedback controls and prey diffusion is investigated. By developing some new analysis methods, some sufficient conditions are derived for the permanence of the system.*

**Keywords:** - Predator-Prey Model, Feedback Control, Time-Delay, Permanence

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The traditional predator-prey model has received great attention from both theoretical and mathematical biologists and has been studied extensively (see [1-4] and the references cited therein). Based on growing biological and physiological evidences, some scholars generally recognized that some kind of time delays are inevitable in population interactions and tend to be destabilizing in the sense that longer delays may destroy the stability of the system. Some results are shown in [5-9] and the references cited therein. In [9], the authors considered the following three species Lotka-Volterra type competitive-mutualism system with discrete time delays

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}^1(t)x_1(t-\tau) - a_{11}^2(t)x_1(t-2\tau) - a_{12}(t)x_2(t-2\tau) + a_{13}(t)x_3(t-\tau)], \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{21}(t)x_1(t-2\tau) - a_{22}^1(t)x_2(t-\tau) - a_{22}^2(t)x_2(t-2\tau) + a_{23}(t)x_3(t-\tau)], \\ \dot{x}_3(t) = x_3(t)[r_3(t) + a_{31}(t)x_1(t-\tau) + a_{32}(t)x_2(t-\tau) - a_{33}^1(t)x_3(t) - a_{33}^2(t)x_3(t-\tau)], \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Where  $x_i(t) (i = 1, 2, 3)$  denote the density of the  $i$ th species at time  $t$ ,  $\tau$  is a positive constant,

$r_i(t) (i = 1, 2, 3), a_{11}^l(t), a_{22}^l(t), a_{33}^l(t) (l = 1, 2), a_{12}(t), a_{13}(t), a_{21}(t), a_{23}(t), a_{31}(t)$  and  $a_{32}(t)$  are

continuous, bounded and strictly positive functions on  $[0, +\infty)$ . The authors established some

conditions on the boundedness, permanence and global attractivity for system (1.1).

Furthermore, in the real world, species can diffuse between patches. So we should consider the effect of dispersal on the permanence and global stability of the ecosystem. Some research results can see for example [10-13]. Song and Chen in [10] studied the following two-species predator-prey system with diffusion

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1[a_1(t) - b_1(t)x_1 - c(t)y] + D_1(t)(x_2 - x_1), \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_2[a_2(t) - b_2(t)x_2] + D_2(t)(x_1 - x_2), \\ \dot{y} = y[-d(t) + e(t)x_1 - q(t)y], \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Where  $x_1$  and  $y$  are population density of prey species  $x$  and predator species  $y$  in patch 1,

And  $x_2$  is the density of prey species  $x$  in patch 2. Predator species  $y$  is confined to patch 1, while the prey species  $x$  can diffuse between two patches.  $D_i(t) (i = 1, 2)$  are diffusive coefficients of prey species  $x$ . It is proved that the system can be made persistent, further, if the system is a periodic system, it can have a strictly positive periodic orbit which is globally asymptotically stable under the appropriate conditions.

Moreover, predators have to search for food and have to share or compete for food, a more suitable general predator-prey theory should be based on the so-called "ratio-dependent" theory. That is to say, the per capita predator growth rate should be a function of the ratio of prey to predator abundance (e.g., see [14-17]). In [14], Wang et al. considered the following a ratio-dependent predator-prey system with two competing prey predated by one predator

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[a_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t) - a_{12}(t)x_2(t) - \frac{a_{13}(t)x_3(t)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t)}], \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[a_2(t) - a_{21}(t)x_1(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t) - \frac{a_{23}(t)x_3(t)}{b_{23}(t)x_3(t) + x_2(t)}], \\ \dot{x}_3(t) = x_3(t)[a_3(t) + \frac{a_{31}(t)x_1(t)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t)} + \frac{a_{32}(t)x_2(t)}{b_{23}(t)x_3(t) + x_2(t)}]. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

In order to study the combined effects of time-delay, dispersion and ratio-dependent on the dynamics of predator-prey systems, some models have been studied by many authors [18-24]. In 2004, Xu et al. [23] studied the following Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model with dispersion and time-delays.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t) - a_{13}(t)y_3(t)] + D_1(t)(x_2(t) - x_1(t)), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t)] + D_2(t)(x_1(t) - x_2(t)), \\ \dot{y}(t) = y(t)[-r_3(t) + a_{31}(t)x_1(t - \tau_1) - a_{33}(t)y(t - \tau_2)], \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

The authors by using Ganas and Mawhin's continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory and by constructing the appropriate Lyapunov function, a set of easily verifiable sufficient conditions are derived for the existence, uniqueness and global stability of positive periodic solutions of the system (1.4).

Xu et al. in [18] studied a three-species predator-prey model both with time delay and ratiodependent,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1(t)\left[a_1 - a_{11}x_1(t - \tau_{11}) - \frac{a_{12}x_2(t)}{m_1 + x_1(t)}\right], \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_2(t)\left[-a_2 + \frac{a_{21}x_1(t - \tau_{21})}{m_1 + x_1(t - \tau_{21})} - a_{22}x_2(t - \tau_{22}) - \frac{a_{23}x_3(t)}{m_2 + x_2(t)}\right], \\ \dot{x}_3 = x_3(t)\left[-a_3 + \frac{a_{32}x_2(t - \tau_{32})}{m_2 + x_2(t - \tau_{32})} - a_{33}x_3(t - \tau_{33})\right], \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

They proved that the system (1.5) is uniformly persistent under some appropriate conditions and by means of constructing suitable Lyapunov functional, sufficient conditions are derived for the global asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium of the system.

In [21], Sun and Yuan considered the following nonautonomous mixture model both with ratiodependent and diffusion

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1\left[a_1(t) - b_1(t)x_1 - c_1(t)z - \frac{d(t)y}{y + \alpha(t)x_1}\right] + D_1(t)(x_2 - x_1), \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_2[a_2(t) - b_2(t)x_2] + D_2(t)(x_1 - x_2), \\ \dot{z} = z[a_3(t) - b_3(t)z - c_2(t)x_1], \\ \dot{y} = y\left[-a_4(t) - b_4(t)y + \frac{e(t)x_1}{y + \alpha(t)x_1}\right], \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

It is shown that the system (1.6) is uniformly and persistently related to the dispersion rate. Furthermore, the sufficient conditions are obtained for the global asymptotic stability of a periodic solution of the system (1.6).

In [19], the authors considered a delayed two-predator-one-prey ratio-dependent model with ratio-dependent in a two-patch environment

Where  $x_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) represents the prey density in the  $i$ th patch, and  $x_j(t)$  ( $j = 3, 4$ )

represents the predator density,  $\tau_1, \tau_2 > 0$  are constant delays due to gestation, and  $D_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )

is a positive constant and denotes the dispersal rate,  $a_i, a_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $m_{13}$  and  $m_{14}$  are

positive constants. It is shown that the system (1.7) is uniformly persistent under some appropriate conditions, and sufficient conditions are obtained for the global stability of the positive equilibrium

of the system.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1(t)\left[a_1 - a_{11}x_1(t) - \frac{a_{13}x_3(t)}{m_{13}x_3(t) + x_1(t)} - \frac{a_{14}x_4(t)}{m_{14}x_4(t) + x_1(t)} + D_1(x_2(t) - x_1(t))\right], \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_2(t)[a_2 - a_{22}x_2(t)] + D_2(x_1(t) - x_2(t)), \\ \dot{x}_3 = x_3(t)\left[-a_3 + \frac{a_{31}x_1(t - \tau_1)}{m_{13}x_3(t - \tau_1) + x_1(t - \tau_1)}\right], \\ \dot{x}_4 = x_4(t)\left[-a_4 + \frac{a_{41}x_1(t - \tau_2)}{m_{14}x_4(t - \tau_2) + x_1(t - \tau_2)}\right], \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

On the other hand, in the real world, ecosystems are continuously distributed by unpredictable forces which could cause a stable system to become unstable or cause the species to fluctuate. So it is necessary to study models with control variables. Recently, there has been a lot of literature related to the study of Lotka-Volterra-type system with feedback controls [25-29]. In 2003, K. Gopalsamy et al. [25] studied the following two species competition system with feedback controls

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[b_1 - a_{11}x_1(t) - a_{12}x_2(t) - \alpha_1 u_1(t)], \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[b_2 - a_{21}x_1(t) - a_{22}x_2(t) - \alpha_2 u_2(t)], \\ \dot{u}_1(t) = -\eta_1 u_1(t) + a_1 x_1(t), \\ \dot{u}_2(t) = -\eta_2 u_2(t) + a_2 x_2(t), \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Where  $b_i, a_{i,j}, \alpha_i, \eta_i, a_i (i, j = 1, 2)$  Are positive constants,  $u_i(t) (i = 1, 2)$  are the indirect control variables, they obtained some conditions for the existence of a globally attracting positive equilibrium of the system.

In order to show that whether the feedback control variables play an essential role on the persistent property and global stability of Lotka-Volterra cooperative systems or not, Liang [28] discussed the following a system with time delays and feedback control

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1(t)[a_1 - b_1 x_1(t) + c_1 x_2(t - \tau_1) - d_1 u_1(t - \tau_2)], \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_2(t)[a_2 - b_2 x_2(t) + c_2 x_1(t - \tau_3) - d_2 u_2(t - \tau_4)], \\ \dot{u}_1 = -e_1 u_1(t) + f_1 x_1(t - \tau_5), \\ \dot{u}_2 = -e_2 u_2(t) + f_2 x_2(t - \tau_6), \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

By using the differential inequality and comparison principle, the condition for persistence is obtained, then by the means of conducting the Lyapunov function, it is proved that the only positive equilibrium point of the system is globally stable when the parameters of system satisfy a certain conditions.

In 2016, Wang et al. [29] studied a ratio-dependent Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model with feedback control,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t) - a_{12}(t)x_2(t) - \frac{a_{13}(t)x_3(t)}{a_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t)} - d_1(t)u_1(t)], \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{21}(t)x_1(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t) - \frac{a_{23}(t)x_3(t)}{a_{23}(t)x_3(t) + x_2(t)} - d_2(t)u_2(t)], \\ \dot{x}_3(t) = x_3(t)[-r_3(t) + \frac{a_{31}(t)x_1(t)}{a_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t)} + \frac{a_{32}(t)x_2(t)}{a_{23}(t)x_3(t) + x_2(t)} + d_3(t)u_3(t)], \\ \dot{u}_1(t) = e_1(t) - f_1(t)u_1(t) + q_1(t)x_1(t), \\ \dot{u}_2(t) = e_2(t) - f_2(t)u_2(t) + q_2(t)x_2(t), \\ \dot{u}_3(t) = e_3(t) - f_3(t)u_3(t) - q_3(t)x_3(t), \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

The authors by constructing suitable Lyapunov function and developing some new analysis techniques, some sufficient condition which guarantees the globally attractive of positive solution for the predator-prey model is obtained. To eliminate the influence of the patch diffusion, ratio-dependent and feedback control on existence of periodic solution, Xie and Weng in [26] considered the following predator-prey model with patch-diffusion, ratio-dependent and feedback control,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[b_1(t) - a_1(t)x_1(t) - \frac{k_1(t)y_1(t)}{c(t)y(t) + x_1(t)}] + D_1(t)(x_2(t) - x_1(t)), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[b_2(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t) - \beta_1(t)u_1(t)] + D_2(t)(x_1(t) - x_2(t)), \\ \dot{y}(t) = y(t)[-r(t) - a_3(t)y(t) + \frac{k_2(t)x_1(t - \tau_1)}{c(t)y(t - \tau_1) + x_1(t - \tau_1)} - \beta_2(t)u_2(t)], \\ \dot{u}_1(t) = -\eta_1(t)u_1(t) + \xi_1(t)x_2(t - \tau_2), \\ \dot{u}_2(t) = -\eta_2(t)u_2(t) + \xi_2(t)y(t - \tau_3), \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

By developing some new analysis methods, the existence of at least one positive periodic solution for this model is proved. However, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there are few scholars who study the three species multi-delay Lotka-Volterra and ratio-dependent predator-prey model with feedback controls and prey diffusion. So, based on system (1.7) and motivated by the above works, in this paper, we propose and investigate the following three species multi-delay and ratio-dependent predator-prey model with feedback controls and prey diffusion

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t) - \frac{a_{13}(t)x_3(t)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t)} - \frac{a_{14}(t)x_4(t)}{b_{14}(t)x_4(t) + x_1(t)} \\ \quad - d_1(t)u_1(t)] + D_1(t)(x_2(t) - x_1(t)), \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t)] + D_2(t)(x_1(t) - x_2(t)), \\ \dot{x}_3(t) = x_3(t)[-r_3(t) + \frac{a_{31}(t)x_1(t - \tau_1)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t - \tau_1)} - a_{34}(t)x_4(t - \tau_2) + d_2(t)u_2(t)], \\ \dot{x}_4(t) = x_4(t)[-r_4(t) + \frac{a_{41}(t)x_1(t - \tau_3)}{b_{14}(t)x_4(t) + x_1(t - \tau_3)} - a_{43}(t)x_3(t - \tau_4) + d_3(t)u_3(t)], \\ \dot{u}_1(t) = e_1(t) - f_1(t)u_1(t) + q_1(t)x_1(t), \\ \dot{u}_2(t) = e_2(t) - f_2(t)u_2(t) - q_2(t)x_3(t), \\ \dot{u}_3(t) = e_3(t) - f_3(t)u_3(t) - q_3(t)x_4(t), \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

Where  $x_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) denote the prey density in the  $i$ -th patch,  $x_j(t)$  ( $j = 3, 4$ ) represents the predator density, predator species is confined to patch 1 while the prey species can disperse between two patches;  $r_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) denote the intrinsic growth rate of the prey species,  $r_j(t)$  ( $j = 3, 4$ ) are the death rate of the predators;  $a_{11}(t), a_{22}(t)$  denote the internal competitive coefficient of the first species,  $a_{13}(t), a_{14}(t)$  are shows the ratio of prey by predator,  $a_{31}(t), a_{41}(t)$  represents the nutrient absorption ratio of predator after predation,  $a_{34}(t), a_{43}(t)$  are the competitive coefficient of species  $x_3(t)$  and  $x_4(t)$ ;  $D_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are the dispersion rate of prey species,  $u_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the feedback control terms; furthermore  $r_i(t), a_{ii}(t), d_i(t), e_i(t), f_i(t), q_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $a_{13}(t), a_{14}(t), b_{13}(t), b_{14}(t), a_{31}(t), a_{41}(t), a_{34}(t), a_{43}(t), D_1(t), D_2(t)$  are continuous, bounded and strictly positive functions on  $[0, +\infty)$ ,  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$  are positive constants.

Due to biological interpretation of system (1.12), it is reasonable to consider only positive solution of (1.12), in other words, to take admissible initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(t) &= \phi_i(t), \quad \phi_i(0) > 0, \quad t \in [-\tau, 0], \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ u_i(t) &= \varphi_i(t), \quad \varphi_i(0) > 0, \quad t > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where  $\tau = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4\}$ . Obviously, the solutions of system (1.12) with the initial values (1.13) are positive for all  $t \geq 0$ . By developing a new analysis technique, the sufficient conditions are established for the permanence of the predator-prey model in this paper.

## 2. Permanence

For a continuous bounded function  $g(t)$  defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$ , we set

$$g^m = \sup\{g(t) \mid t_0 < t < \infty\}, \quad g^l = \inf\{g(t) \mid t_0 < t < \infty\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** System (1.12) is called permanent, if there exist positive constants  $M_i, N_j, m_i, n_j (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, j = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $T$ , such that  $m_i \leq x_i(t) \leq M_i, n_j \leq u_j(t) \leq N_j$ , ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, j = 1, 2, 3$ ) for any positive solution  $Z(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t))$  of system (1.12) as  $t > T$ .

As a direct corollary of Lemma 2.1 of Chen [30], we have

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $\dot{x} \geq b - ax$ , when  $t \geq 0$  and  $x(0) > 0$ , we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \geq b/a.$$

If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $\dot{x} \leq b - ax$ , when  $t \geq 0$  and  $x(0) > 0$ , we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \leq b/a.$$

As a direct corollary of Lemma 2.2 of Chen [30], we have

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $\dot{x} \geq x(b - ax)$ , when  $t \geq 0$  and  $x(0) > 0$ , we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \geq b/a.$$

If  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $\dot{x} \leq x(b - ax)$ , when  $t \geq 0$  and  $x(0) > 0$ , we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \leq b/a.$$

For the system (1.12), we let

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= M_2 = \max\left\{\frac{r_1^m}{a_{11}^m}, \frac{r_2^m}{a_{22}^m}\right\}, N_1 = \frac{e_1^m + q_1^m M_1}{f_1^m}, N_2 = \frac{e_2^m}{f_2^m}, N_3 = \frac{e_3^m}{f_3^m}, \\ M_3 &= \frac{a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3 - r_4^l}{a_{43}^l} \exp[(a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2 - r_3^l)\tau_4], \\ M_4 &= \frac{a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2 - r_3^l}{a_{34}^l} \exp[(a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3 - r_4^l)\tau_2], \\ m_1 &= \frac{r_1^l - a_{13}^m/b_{13}^l - a_{14}^m/b_{14}^l - d_1^m N_1 - D_1^m}{a_{11}^m}, m_2 = \frac{r_2^l - D_2^m}{a_{22}^m}, \\ n_1 &= \frac{e_1^l + q_1^l m_1}{f_1^m}, n_2 = \frac{e_2^l - q_2^m M_3}{f_2^m}, n_3 = \frac{e_3^l - q_3^m M_4}{f_3^m}, \\ m_3 &= \frac{d_3^l n_3 - r_4^m}{a_{43}^m} \exp[(d_2^l n_2 - r_3^m - a_{34}^m M_4)\tau_4], \\ m_4 &= \frac{d_2^l n_2 - r_3^m}{a_{34}^m} \exp[(d_3^l n_3 - r_4^m - a_{43}^m M_3)\tau_2]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** According to the first and the second equations of system (1.12), we define  $W_1(t) = \max\{x_1(t), x_2(t)\}$  and calculate the upper right derivative of  $W_1(t)$  along the positive solution of system (1.12), we have that

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that the system (1.12) satisfies the initial conditions (1.13) and following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (H_1) \quad r_4^l &< a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3, \quad (H_2) \quad r_3^l < a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2 \\ (H_3) \quad r_1^l &> a_{13}^m/b_{13}^l + a_{14}^m/b_{14}^l + d_1^m N_1 + D_1^m, \\ (H_4) \quad r_2^l &> D_2^m, \quad (H_5) \quad e_2^l > q_2^m M_3, \\ (H_6) \quad e_3^l &> q_3^m M_4, \quad (H_7) \quad d_3^l n_3 > r_4^m, \quad (H_8) \quad d_2^l n_2 > r_3^m. \end{aligned}$$

then the system (1.12) is permanent.

(P1) if  $x_1(t) \geq x_2(t)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ W_1(t) &= \dot{x}_1(t) = x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t) - \frac{a_{13}(t)x_3(t)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t)} - \frac{a_{14}(t)x_4(t)}{b_{14}(t)x_4(t) + x_1(t)} \\ &\quad - d_1(t)u_1(t)] + D_1(t)(x_2(t) - x_1(t)) \\ &\leq x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t)] \leq x_1(t)[r_1^m - a_{11}^l x_1(t)] = W_1(t)[r_1^m - a_{11}^l W_1(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

(P2) if  $x_1(t) \leq x_2(t)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ W_1(t) &= \dot{x}_2(t) = x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t)] + D_2(t)(x_1(t) - x_2(t)) \\ &\leq x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t)] \leq x_2(t)[r_2^m - a_{22}^l x_2(t)] = W_1(t)[r_2^m - a_{22}^l W_1(t)] \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (P1) and (P2) that

$$D^+ W_1(t) \leq W_1(t)[r_i^m - a_{ii}^l W_1(t)], \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.1)$$

By (2.1) we can derive

(A) If  $W_1(0) = \max\{x_1(0), x_2(0)\} \leq M_1$ , then  $\max\{x_1(t), x_2(t)\} \leq M_1, t \geq 0$ .

(B) If  $W_1(0) = \max\{x_1(0), x_2(0)\} > M_1$ , take appropriate  $\alpha > 0$ , we have the following three possibilities:

- (a)  $W_1(0) = x_1(0) > M_1, (x_1(0) > x_2(0))$ ;
- (b)  $W_1(0) = x_2(0) > M_1, (x_1(0) < x_2(0))$ ;
- (c)  $W_1(0) = x_1(0) = x_2(0) > M_1$ .

If (a) holds, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0, t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ , we have  $W_1(t) = x_1(t) > M_1$ , then we get

$$D^+W_1(t) = \dot{x}_1(t) \leq a_{11}^i W_1(t) \left[ \frac{r_1^m}{a_{11}^i} - W_1(t) \right] \leq -\alpha < 0.$$

If (b) holds, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ , and  $W_1(t) = x_2(t) > M_1$ , and also we have

$$D^+W_1(t) = \dot{x}_2(t) \leq a_{22}^j W_1(t) \left[ \frac{r_2^m}{a_{22}^j} - W_1(t) \right] \leq -\alpha < 0.$$

If (c) holds, a similar argument in (a) and (b) shows that

$$D^+W_1(t) = \dot{x}_i(t) \leq a_{ii}^i W_1(t) \left[ \frac{r_i^m}{a_{ii}^i} - W_1(t) \right] \leq -\alpha < 0, \quad i = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

From what we have been discussed above, we can conclude that if  $W_1(0) > M_1$ , then  $W_1$  is strictly monotone decreasing with speed at least  $\alpha$ , so there exists  $T_1 > 0$  such that if  $t \geq T_1$ , we have  $W_1(t) = \max\{x_1(t), x_2(t)\} \leq M_1$ . Which is

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1(t) \leq M_1 = M_2 = \max\left\{\frac{r_1^m}{a_{11}^i}, \frac{r_2^m}{a_{22}^j}\right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2(t) \leq M_1 = M_2 = \max\left\{\frac{r_1^m}{a_{11}^i}, \frac{r_2^m}{a_{22}^j}\right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

According to the fifth equation of system (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_1(t) &= e_1(t) - f_1(t)u_1(t) + q_1(t)x_1(t) \\ &\leq e_1^m - f_1^i u_1(t) + q_1^m M_1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By **Lemma 2.1**, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(t) \leq \frac{e_1^m + q_1^m M_1}{f_1^i} = N_1. \quad (2.5)$$

From the sixth and seventh of system (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_2(t) &= e_2(t) - f_2(t)u_2(t) - q_2(t)x_3(t) \\ &\leq e_2(t) - f_2(t)u_2(t) \leq e_2^m - f_2^i u_2(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_3(t) &= e_3(t) - f_3(t)u_3(t) - q_3(t)x_4(t) \\ &\leq e_3(t) - f_3(t)u_3(t) \leq e_3^m - f_3^i u_3(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

By **Lemma 2.1**, we can get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(t) \leq \frac{e_2^m}{f_2^i} = N_2. \quad ($$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u_3(t) \leq \frac{e_3^m}{f_3^i} = N_3. \quad ($$



For the third and fourth equations of system (1.12),

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_3(t) &= x_3(t)\left[-r_3(t) + \frac{a_{31}(t)x_1(t-\tau_1)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t-\tau_1)} - a_{34}(t)x_4(t-\tau_2) + d_2(t)u_2(t)\right] \\ &\leq x_3(t)\left[-r_3(t) + a_{31}(t) - a_{34}(t)x_4(t-\tau_2) + d_2(t)u_2(t)\right] \\ &\leq x_3(t)\left[-r_3^l + a_{31}^m - a_{34}^l x_4(t-\tau_2) + d_2^m N_2\right],\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_4(t) &= x_4(t)\left[-r_4(t) + \frac{a_{41}(t)x_1(t-\tau_3)}{b_{14}(t)x_4(t) + x_1(t-\tau_3)} - a_{43}(t)x_3(t-\tau_4) + d_3(t)u_3(t)\right] \\ &\leq x_4(t)\left[-r_4(t) + a_{41}(t) - a_{43}(t)x_3(t-\tau_4) + d_3(t)u_3(t)\right] \\ &\leq x_4(t)\left[-r_4^l + a_{41}^m - a_{43}^l x_3(t-\tau_4) + d_3^m N_3\right].\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

Let  $x_3(\bar{t})$  be the maximum of  $x_3(t)$ , then from (2.10) and we have

$$0 = \dot{x}_3(\bar{t}) \leq x_3(\bar{t})\left[-r_3^l + a_{31}^m - a_{34}^l x_4(\bar{t} - \tau_2) + d_2^m N_2\right],\tag{2.12}$$

By the initial conditions (1.13), we can obtained that  $x_3(t) > 0$ , from (2.12), we will get

$$x_4(\bar{t} - \tau_2) \leq \frac{a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2 - r_3^l}{a_{34}^l}.\tag{2.13}$$

Let  $x_4(\bar{t})$  is the maximum of  $x_4(t)$ , from (2.11) we can obtain

$$0 = \dot{x}_4(\bar{t}) \leq x_4(\bar{t})\left[-r_4^l + a_{41}^m - a_{43}^l x_3(\bar{t} - \tau_4) + d_3^m N_3\right],\tag{2.14}$$

With initial conditions  $x_4(t) > 0$ , and from (2.14), we can get

$$x_3(\bar{t} - \tau_4) < \frac{a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3 - r_4^l}{a_{43}^l}.\tag{2.15}$$

Integrating from  $\bar{t} - \tau_4$  to  $\bar{t}$  on both sides of (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\left[\frac{x_3(\bar{t})}{x_3(\bar{t} - \tau_4)}\right] &\leq \int_{\bar{t} - \tau_4}^{\bar{t}} (-r_3^l + a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2) dt \\ \Rightarrow x_3(\bar{t}) &\leq x_3(\bar{t} - \tau_4) \exp[(a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2 - r_3^l)\tau_4],\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

By (2.15) and (2.16), if  $(H_1)$  holds, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_3(t) \leq x_3(\bar{t}) \leq \frac{a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3 - r_4^j}{a_{43}^j} \exp[(a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2 - r_3^j)\tau_4] = M_3. \quad (2.17)$$

Similarly, integrate from  $\bar{t} - \tau_2$  to  $\bar{t}$  on both sides of (2.11), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left[ \frac{x_4(\bar{t})}{x_4(\bar{t} - \tau_2)} \right] &\leq \int_{\bar{t} - \tau_2}^{\bar{t}} (-r_4^j + a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3) dt \\ \Rightarrow x_4(\bar{t}) &\leq x_4(\bar{t} - \tau_2) \exp[(a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3 - r_4^j)\tau_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.13) and (2.18), if  $(H_2)$  holds, we can obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_4(t) \leq x_4(\bar{t}) \leq \frac{a_{31}^m + d_2^m N_2 - r_3^j}{a_{24}^j} \exp[(a_{41}^m + d_3^m N_3 - r_4^j)\tau_2] = M_4. \quad (2.19)$$

On the other hand, from the first equations of system (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1(t) &= x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t) - \frac{a_{13}(t)x_3(t)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t)} - \frac{a_{14}(t)x_4(t)}{b_{14}(t)x_4(t) + x_1(t)} \\ &\quad - d_1(t)u_1(t)] + D_1(t)(x_2(t) - x_1(t)) \\ &\geq x_1(t)[r_1(t) - a_{11}(t)x_1(t) - a_{13}(t)/b_{13}(t) - a_{14}(t)/b_{14}(t) - d_1(t)u_1(t) - D_1(t)] \\ &\geq x_1(t)[r_1^j - a_{11}^m x_1(t) - a_{13}^m/b_{13}^j - a_{14}^m/b_{14}^j - d_1^m N_1 - D_1^m]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

By **Lemma 2.2**, if  $(H_3)$  holds, we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_1(t) \geq \frac{r_1^j - a_{13}^m/b_{13}^j - a_{14}^m/b_{14}^j - d_1^m N_1 - D_1^m}{a_{11}^m} = m_1. \quad (2.21)$$

For the second equation of system (1.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_2(t) &= x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t)] + D_2(t)(x_1(t) - x_2(t)) \\ &\geq x_2(t)[r_2(t) - a_{22}(t)x_2(t) - D_2(t)] \geq x_2(t)[r_2^j - a_{22}^m x_2(t) - D_2^m]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

From assumption  $(H_4)$  and by **Lemma 2.2**, we can get

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_2(t) \geq \frac{r_2^j - D_2^m}{a_{22}^m} = m_2. \quad (2.23)$$

By the fifth equation of system (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_1(t) &= e_1(t) - f_1(t)u_1(t) + q_1(t)x_1(t) \\ &\geq e_1^j - f_1^m u_1(t) + q_1^j m_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

By **Lemma 2.1**, we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_1(t) \geq \frac{e_1^l + q_1^l m_1}{f_1^m} = n_1. \quad (2.25)$$

For the sixth and the seventh equations of system (1.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_2(t) &= e_2(t) - f_2(t)u_2(t) - q_2(t)x_3(t) \\ &\geq e_2^l - f_2^m u_2(t) - q_2^m M_3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_3(t) &= e_3(t) - f_3(t)u_3(t) - q_3(t)x_4(t) \\ &\geq e_3^l - f_3^m u_3(t) - q_3^m M_4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

If  $(H_5)$  and  $(H_6)$  holds, by **Lemma 2.1**, we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_2(t) \geq \frac{e_2^l - q_2^m M_3}{f_2^m} = n_2. \quad (2.28)$$

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_3(t) \geq \frac{e_3^l - q_3^m M_4}{f_3^m} = n_3. \quad (2.29)$$

According to the third equations and the fourth of system (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_3(t) &= x_3(t)[-r_3(t) + \frac{a_{31}(t)x_1(t-\tau_1)}{b_{13}(t)x_3(t) + x_1(t-\tau_1)} - a_{34}(t)x_4(t-\tau_2) + d_2(t)u_2(t)] \\ &\geq x_3(t)[-r_3(t) - a_{34}(t)x_4(t-\tau_2) + d_2(t)u_2(t)] \geq x_3(t)[-r_3^m - a_{34}^m x_4(t-\tau_2) + d_2^l n_2], \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_4(t) &= x_4(t)[-r_4(t) + \frac{a_{41}(t)x_1(t-\tau_3)}{b_{14}(t)x_4(t) + x_1(t-\tau_3)} - a_{43}(t)x_3(t-\tau_4) + d_3(t)u_3(t)] \\ &\geq x_4(t)[-r_4(t) - a_{43}(t)x_3(t-\tau_4) + d_3(t)u_3(t)] \geq x_4(t)[-r_4^m - a_{43}^m x_3(t-\tau_4) + d_3^l n_3], \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Let  $x_3(\underline{t}), x_4(\underline{t})$  are the minimum values of  $x_3(t)$  and  $x_4(t)$ , by (2.30) and (2.31), we get

$$0 = \dot{x}_3(\underline{t}) \geq x_3(\underline{t})[-r_3^m - a_{34}^m x_4(\underline{t} - \tau_2) + d_2^l n_2], \quad (2.32)$$

$$0 = \dot{x}_4(\underline{t}) \geq x_4(\underline{t})[-r_4^m - a_{43}^m x_3(\underline{t} - \tau_4) + d_3^l n_3], \quad (2.33)$$

From the initial conditions  $x_3(t) > 0$  and (2.32), we have

$$x_4(\underline{t} - \tau_2) \geq \frac{d_2^l n_2 - r_3^m}{a_{34}^m}. \quad (2.34)$$

Similarly, with initial conditions  $x_4(t) > 0$  and (2.33), then we obtain

$$x_3(\underline{t} - \tau_4) \geq \frac{d_3^l n_3 - r_4^m}{a_{43}^m}. \quad (2.35)$$

Integrating both sides of (2.30) on the interval  $[\underline{t} - \tau_4, \underline{t}]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left[\frac{x_3(\underline{t})}{x_3(\underline{t} - \tau_4)}\right] &\geq \int_{\underline{t} - \tau_4}^{\underline{t}} (-r_3^m - a_{34}^m M_4 + d_2^l n_2) dt \\ \Rightarrow x_3(\underline{t}) &\geq x_3(\underline{t} - \tau_4) \exp[(d_2^l n_2 - r_3^m - a_{34}^m M_4)\tau_4], \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

From (2.35) and (2.36), if  $(H_7)$  holds, we derive that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_3(t) \geq x_3(\underline{t}) \geq \frac{d_3^l n_3 - r_4^m}{a_{43}^m} \exp[(d_2^l n_2 - r_3^m - a_{34}^m M_4)\tau_4] = m_3. \quad (2.37)$$

By a similar argument, integrate from  $\underline{t} - \tau_2$  to  $\underline{t}$  on both sides of (2.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\left[\frac{x_4(\underline{t})}{x_4(\underline{t} - \tau_2)}\right] &\geq \int_{\underline{t} - \tau_2}^{\underline{t}} (-r_4^m - a_{43}^m M_3 + d_3^l n_3) dt \\ \Rightarrow x_4(\underline{t}) &\geq x_4(\underline{t} - \tau_2) \exp[(d_3^l n_3 - r_4^m - a_{43}^m M_3)\tau_2], \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Similarly, by (2.34) and (2.38) if  $(H_8)$  holds, we can get

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_4(t) \geq x_4(\underline{t}) \geq \frac{d_2^l n_2 - r_3^m}{a_{34}^m} \exp[(d_3^l n_3 - r_4^m - a_{43}^m M_3)\tau_2] = m_4. \quad (2.39)$$

From (2.2), (2.3), (2.5), (2.8), (2.9), (2.17), (2.19) and (2.21), (2.23), (2.25), (2.28), (2.29), (2.37), (2.39), this ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Science and Technology Project of Chongqing Municipal Education Committee (Grants nos. KJ110501 and KJ120520) of China, Natural Science Foundation Project of CQ CSTC (Grant no. cstc2012jjA20016) of China, and the NSFC (Grant no. 11101298) of China.

### Reference

- [1]. HASTINGS A. Global Stability of Two Species Systems [J]. Journal of Mathematical Biology, 1978, 5(4):399-403.
- [2]. LU Z, WANG W. Permanence and Global Attractivity for Lotka–Volterra Difference Systems [J]. Journal of Mathematical Biology, 1999, 39(3):269-282.
- [3]. LLIBRE J, XIAO D. Global Dynamics of a Lotka–Volterra Model with Two Predators Competing for One Prey [J]. Siam Journal on Applied Mathematics, 2014, 74(2):434-453.
- [4]. HADŽIABDIĆ V, MEHULJIĆ M, BEKTEŠEVIĆ J. Lotka–Volterra Model with Two Predators and Their Prey [J]. TEM Journal, 2017, 6(1):132-136.
- [5]. LANSUN C, ZHENG YI L, WENDI W. The Effect of Delays on the Permanence for Lotka–Volterra Systems [J]. Applied Mathematics Letters, 1995, 8(4):71-73.
- [6]. XIA Y. Existence of Positive Periodic Solutions of Mutualism Systems with Several Delays [J]. Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications, 2006, 1(2):209-217.

- [7]. GUICHEN L, ZHENGYI L. Permanence for Two-Species Lotka-Volterra Cooperative Systems with Delays [J]. *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*, 2008, 5(3):477-484.
- [8]. LU G, LU Z, ENATSU Y. Permanence for Lotka–Volterra Systems with Multiple Delays [J]. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 2011, 12(5):2552-2560.
- [9]. MUHAMMADHAJI A, TENG Z, REHIM M. Dynamical Behavior for a Class of Delayed Competitive–Mutualism Systems [J]. *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, 2015, 23(3):281-301.
- [10]. SONG X, CHEN L. Persistence and Periodic Orbits for Two-Species Predator-Prey System with Diffusion [J]. *Canadian Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, 1998, 6(3):233-244.
- [11]. SONG X, CHEN L. Persistence and Global Stability for Nonautonomous Predator-Prey System with Diffusion and Time Delay [J]. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 1998, 35(6):33-40.
- [12]. CUI J. The Effect of Dispersal on Permanence in a Predator-Prey Population Growth Model [J]. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 2002, 44(8):1085-1097.
- [13]. WEI F, LIN Y, QUE L, et al. Periodic Solution and Global Stability for a Nonautonomous Competitive Lotka–Volterra Diffusion System [J]. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2010, 216(10):3097-3104.
- [14]. WANG J, WANG K. Dynamics of a Ratio-dependent one Predator-two Competing Prey Model [J]. *Mathematica Applicata*, 2004, 17(2):172-178. (In Chinese)
- [15]. CHEN G, TENG Z, HU Z. Analysis of Stability for a Discrete Ratio-Dependent Predator-Prey System [J]. *Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Mathematics*, 2011, 42(1):1-26.
- [16]. [16] Alan A. Berryman. The Origins and Evolution of Predator-Prey Theory [J]. *Ecology*, 1992, 73(5):1530-1535.
- [17]. LUNDBERG P, FRYXELL J M. Expected Population Density versus Productivity in Ratio-Dependent and Prey-Dependent Models [J]. 1995, 146(1):153-161.
- [18]. XU R, CHAPLAIN M A J. Persistence and Global Stability in a Delayed Predator-Prey System with Michaelis–Menten Type Functional Response [J]. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2002, 130(1):441-455.
- [19]. XU R, CHEN L. Persistence and Global Stability for a Three-Species Ratio Dependent Predator-Prey System with Time Delays in Two-Patch Environments [J]. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2002, 22(4):533-541.
- [20]. HUO H, LI W. Periodic Solution of a Delayed Predator-Prey System with Michaelis–Menten Type Functional Response [J]. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2004, 166(2):453-463.
- [21]. SUN S, YUAN C. Analysis for Three-Species Mixture Model with Diffusion and Ratio-Dependence [J]. *Journal of Systems Science and Mathematical Sciences*, 2005, 25(1):87-95. (In Chinese)
- [22]. ZHOU X, SHI X, SONG X. Analysis of Nonautonomous Predator-Prey Model with Nonlinear Diffusion and Time Delay [J]. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2008, 196(1):129-136.
- [23]. XU R, CHAPLAIN M, DAVIDSON F A. Periodic Solution of a Lotka–Volterra Predator–Prey Model with Dispersion and Time Delays [J]. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2004, 148(2):537-560.
- [24]. DU C, WU Y. Existence of Positive Periodic Solutions for a Generalized Predator-Prey Model with Diffusion Feedback Controls [J]. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2013, 2013(1):1-14.
- [25]. GOPALSAMY K, WENG P. Global Attractivity in a Competition System with Feedback Controls [J]. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 2003, 45:665-676.
- [26]. XIE W, WENG P. Existence of Periodic Solution for a Predator - Prey Model with Patch - Diffusion and Feedback Control [J]. *Journal of South China Normal University (Natural Science Edition)*, 2012, 44(1):42-47.
- [27]. XU J, CHEN F. Permanence of a Lotka-Volterra Cooperative System with Time Delays and Feedback Controls [J]. *Communications in Mathematical Biology & Neuroscience*, 2015, 18:1-12.
- [28]. JIANXIU L. The Permanence and Global Stability of A Two-species Cooperation System with Time Delays and Feedback Controls [J]. *Journal of Ningxia University (Natural Science Edition)*, 2016, 37(2):161-164. (In Chinese)
- [29]. WANG C, LIU H, PAN S, et al. Globally Attractive of a Ratio-Dependent Lotka-Volterra Predator-Prey Model with Feedback Control [J]. *Advances in Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 2016, 4(5):59.
- [30]. CHEN F. On a Nonlinear Nonautonomous Predator-Prey Model with Diffusion and Distributed Delay [J]. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2005, 180:33-49.