## DOI: 10.53555/eijms.v11i1.78

# MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS FOR PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS IN FINANCIAL MARKETS

Dr.Ashish Kumar Soni<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr Genu Roney Varghese<sup>2</sup>, Mrs Nirmala Roney Varghese<sup>3</sup>, Ms. Vallerina Roney Varghese<sup>4</sup>, Ms. Vinitaa Roney Varghese<sup>5</sup>, Mr. Basavaraj Basannagari<sup>6</sup>

 <sup>1\*</sup>Assistant professor, Medicaps University Indore, ashishkumar.soni@medicaps.ac.in
<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, School of Business and Commerce, Spicer Adventist University, Email ID: drgenuroneyvarghese@gmail.com
<sup>3</sup>Spicer Adventist University, Chief Librarian, Email ID: nirmala5varghese@gmail.com
<sup>4</sup>Spicer Adventist University, Designation: Student, Email ID: varghesevallerina@gmail.com
<sup>5</sup>Spicer Adventist University, Designation: Student Email ID: vinitaavarghese@gmail.com
<sup>6\*</sup>Doctoral P.hd Student(Finance, specialization),School of Economics (SoE), University of Hyderabad-500046, basavaraj\_hcu@yahoo.co.in

\*Corresponding Autor: ashishkumar.soni@medicaps.ac.in

#### ABSTRACT

A research examines machine learning algorithm applications for financial data prediction in markets by using synthetic data to minimize reliance on traditional datasets. The study develops a hybrid framework that combines deep learning and reinforcement learning models to enhance forecasting precision. GANs and VAEs work together to generate synthetic financial data through advanced network architectures. The prepared data is improved through logarithmic returns and technical indicator techniques. Transformer-based models deliver better performance than LSTM networks because they decrease prediction errors by 12% compared to LSTM networks. At the same time Deep Q-Networks (DQNs) operating under the reinforcement learning framework generate 23% superior cumulative returns compared to typical trading methods. Research outcomes indicate that artificial intelligence-driven models can improve market predictions and company decision-making functions. The research develops a new synthetic data method that boosts financial prediction while delivering essential information for automated traders and financial establishments.

Keywords: Machine Learning, Financial Forecasting, Synthetic Data, Algorithmic Trading, Deep Learning.

## ©Copyright 2025 EIJMS Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 OPEN ACCESS

# INTRODUCTION

The economic environment of financial markets responds to diverse elements such as macroeconomic numbers and investor attitudes along with international political occurrences. Forecasting financial trends remains challenging according to research because conventional forecasting systems need historical information that might not detect new patterns or handle unpredictable market changes [1]. The improvement of machine learning (ML) has allowed researchers to develop data-driven methods that improve financial analytics prediction capabilities. The current models face a significant drawback because they continue to use traditional datasets that usually present data biases or incomplete information or poor adaptability when markets change [2]. The proposed study develops a theoretical framework to use ML algorithms for financial market predictions by eliminating the need for traditional datasets. The research also examines how well synthetic data works to train ML models which predict financial outcomes.

The usage of ML algorithms in financial market analysis has become increasingly popular during the last few years. Time series models (ARIMA, GARCH) alongside econometric techniques represent traditional forecasting methods which administrators use extensively although they encounter difficulties when working with nonlinear patterns and abrupt shifts together with high-dimensional data sets [3]. Research has indicated that deep learning together with reinforcement learning and ensemble models demonstrate success in generating better financial data predictions while detecting complicated statistical patterns [4].

The financial markets operate under a combination of intricate dynamics and react substantially to macroeconomic indicators as well as investor sentiment and geopolitical occurrences. The task of predicting financial trends remains a proud challenge since traditional forecasting methods depend too much on historical data which fails to detect emerging patterns or react adequately to volatile market conditions [1]. The study of machine learning (ML) by researchers enabled the development of data-driven techniques for financial analytics predictive accuracy enhancement. The major hurdle that affects existing models lies in their dependence on classic datasets because these databases frequently show problems with biased information combined with gaps and limitations to adjust for changing market environments [2]. The proposed study develops a theoretical framework to use ML algorithms for financial market predictions by eliminating the need for traditional datasets. The research also examines how well synthetic data works to train ML models which predict financial outcomes.

The usage of ML algorithms in financial market analysis has become increasingly popular during the last few years. Traditional forecasting techniques including ARIMA and GARCH as well as econometric methods continue to be popular even though they encounter difficulty when working with nonlinearities and abrupt shifts and high-dimensional information [3]. Deep learning together with reinforcement learning and ensemble methods from ML show great potential in enhancing financial data prediction accuracy by revealing complex patterns [4].

This study holds major importance because it presents the possibility to revolutionize financial market analysis and prediction methods. The proposed framework works to reduce dependence on conventional data sources while solving the root issues that affect existing financial forecasting systems and delivering an efficient data-based solution. Synthetic data evaluation research supports financial analytics development through assessments about technique use and performance upgrades of machine learning models. The research provides a new financial forecasting approach for financial institutions and policymakers and ML practitioners to use because it offers scalability alongside data limitation resilience [8].

The study's results provide regulatory bodies with knowledge about synthetic data capabilities for financial risk assessment and market analysis which helps diminish data-related risks. Financial institutions that deploy ML and synthetic data will obtain a competitive advantage in their decision processes which leads to enhanced accuracy in prediction and risk management [9].

The primary objectives of this study are as follows:

- 1. Develop a theoretical framework for applying machine learning algorithms to predict financial market trends without relying on traditional datasets.
- 2. Assess the effectiveness of synthetic data generation techniques in training machine learning models for financial forecasting.

By addressing these objectives, this research aims to bridge the gap between financial forecasting and advanced ML methodologies while exploring innovative solutions to data limitations in financial analytics.

# LITERATURE REVIEW

Machine learning (ML) integration in financial market predictions has expanded swiftly throughout recent years because researchers have widely studied deep learning as well as reinforcement learning and hybrid models [11]. The growing computer power alongside financial data availability has made possible ML-driven trading systems and automated risk assessment as well as portfolio optimization [12]. Research shows deep neural networks (DNNs) successfully discover

financial data non-linear patterns better than standard econometric models ARIMA and GARCH [13]. Agents use reinforcement learning-based approaches widely in algorithmic trading since they develop mechanisms to optimize long-term profits by making sequential trading decisions [14].

Transformer-based Financial BERT (FinBERT) represents a recent breakthrough because it uses natural language processing (NLP) to analyze financial news along with sentiment analysis [15]. The applications of these models led to better solutions in market sentiment assessment as well as event-based prediction tasks [16]. Present financial datasets still face limitations due to their use of historical market data because financial markets remain highly affected by unpredictable external shocks that past data may not accurately reflect [17].

Multiple ML methods have been utilized for financial forecasting tasks but they differ in the ways they perform and the limitations they present. Support vector machines (SVMs) together with decision trees and XGBoost ensemble methods prove effective in structured financial data forecasting [18]. These models deliver strong interpretability and robustness although they need extensive feature engineering that obstructs their implementation for dynamic market changes.

Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks from the deep learning family exhibit better performance in financial time series data because they track temporal patterns across sequences [19]. The models succeed at processing sequences yet they still encounter overfitting issues together with high price tags and increased sensitivity to noise in their operation. The Transformer and its variants belong to the attention-based model class which has emerged as a powerful alternative because they focus on significant time steps while working with sequences of any length [20]. These methods remain dependent on training data availability together with its quality standards.

The majority of research on stock market prediction faces major problems due to history-dependent datasets because such materials introduce biases and restrict overall applicability while neglecting market changes. Predictive models face developmental challenges from insufficient complete financial data that shows inconsistency as well as follows regulatory requirements [21]. Scientists are now pursuing synthetic data creation methods for enriching traditional datasets because these issues remain unsolvable.

Recent progress in the field has not resolved multiple open research problems when using ML for financial forecasting. The need for historical data raises uncertainties about model performance when markets experience unexpected events. The integration of synthetic data into forecasting through a systematic framework is still unexplored in the literature according to [22].

Synthetic data generation systems based on Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) have obtained substantial adoption in image and text creation but their effectiveness for financial market predictions remains poorly documented [23]. The current literature mainly investigates data augmentation techniques for supervised learning tasks yet provides minimal evidence about synthetic data use in reinforcement learning-based financial models [24].

The presented work develops a theoretical framework dedicated to financial trend prediction needs that do not require traditional datasets. The research performs systematic assessments of various synthetic data generation methods to determine their potential for ML model training in financial forecasting. The current research goes beyond previous studies that examine single ML approaches by performing an extensive comparison between synthetic data techniques to assess their impact on model robustness and predictive accuracy. This research examines how synthetic data works with advanced ML models including transformers and reinforcement learning agents for better market condition adaptability.

# METHODOLOGY

#### **Research Design**

This study follows an experimental research design to develop and evaluate a machine learning (ML)-based predictive framework for financial market forecasting. The research is structured into three main phases: synthetic data generation, model development, and evaluation. The first phase focuses on generating artificial financial datasets using advanced generative models to supplement traditional financial data. In the second phase, various ML algorithms are implemented to analyze trends and predict market movements. The final phase involves assessing model performance using multiple accuracy metrics and robustness tests to ensure reliability.

The research workflow is illustrated in Figure 1, detailing the structured approach undertaken in this study.



**Figure 1. Workflow Structure** 

#### **Data Collection**

The research adopts an experimental approach to create and test a machine learning (ML)-based predictive system for financial market prediction. The research consists of three sequential parts: synthetic data generation followed by model development and finally model evaluation. The initial stage generates artificial financial datasets through advanced generator models which enhance existing financial statistics. Multiple ML algorithms run during the second phase to evaluate market trends while making market movement predictions. The last segment of the process includes employing multiple accuracy metrics alongside robustness tests to verify model reliability.

Figure 1 displays the research workflow which shows the systematic method used in this study.

#### **Techniques and Tools**

A predictive model needs supervised learning combined with reinforcement learning methods for its development. Rubitt starts synthetic data generation to proceed with feature engineering and preprocessing which enables the implementation of machine learning models to predict financial market trends.

#### Synthetic Data Generation

GANs use a training model between a generator and discriminator to create artificial financial datasets through adversarial learning. The GAN model operates with an objective function which consists of:

$$\min_{C} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)} [\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)} [\log (1 - D(G(z)))]$$

where:

• G(z) represents the generator function that synthesizes data from random noise z.

• D(x) represents the discriminator function that differentiates between real and synthetic financial data.

Additionally, Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) are incorporated to generate time-series financial data by encoding latent variables, ensuring realistic synthetic market behavior.

#### **Feature Engineering and Preprocessing**

The creation of optimal predictive modeling data requires implementation of various feature engineering approaches. The normalization process requires logarithmic return calculation through the following formula:

$$r_t = \log\left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}}\right)$$

where:

•  $P_t$  represents the asset price at time t.

•  $P_{t-1}$  represents the previous time step price.

To enhance feature representation, additional financial indicators are extracted, including:

- Simple Moving Average (SMA) smooths out short-term price fluctuations.
- Relative Strength Index (RSI) measures the magnitude of recent price changes.
- Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD) identifies trends and reversals.

These engineered features improve model accuracy in predicting market movements.

#### **Predictive Modeling**

The Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network becomes the preferred choice for time-series forecasting because it excels at identifying long-term dependencies within financial sequential data. Short-term temporal relationships are captured by Transformer-based models and specifically by Temporal Fusion Transformers (TFTs) without needing recurrent structure which enhances forecasting performance.

In feature-based learning the model uses XGBoost (Extreme Gradient Boosting) because it demonstrates superior predictive capabilities for financial forecasting.

#### **Reinforcement Learning for Trading Strategy Optimization**

Financial market decision-making benefits from using Deep QNetworks (DQN) in reinforcement learning applications. Through DQN the algorithm optimizes trading strategies by finding the best possible cumulative reward outcomes:

$$Q(s, a) = r + \gamma \max Q(s', a')$$

where:

• Q(s, a) represents the expected reward for taking action a in state s.

•  $\gamma$  is the discount factor that determines the importance of future rewards.

By leveraging reinforcement learning, the model dynamically adapts to changing market conditions, leading to improved trading strategy optimization.

#### Software and Tools Used

- Programming Language: Python
- Deep Learning Libraries: TensorFlow, PyTorch, Keras
- Financial Data APIs: Yahoo Finance, Quandl
- Reinforcement Learning Simulation: OpenAI Gym
- Statistical Validation & Hypothesis Testing: R

#### **Model Training and Optimization**

A train-test split of 80-20 trains the ML models properly for generalizing to unseen data. The training process uses Adam optimizer at 0.001 learning rate with 64 batch size for 100 epochs training.

For regression-based models, the Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss function is used:

$$L = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

where  $y_i$  is the actual return, and  $\hat{y}_i$  is the predicted return.

For classification-based models predicting market trends, cross-entropy loss is applied:

$$L = -\sum_{i=1} y_i \log{(\hat{y}_i)} + (1 - y_i) \log{(1 - \hat{y}_i)}$$

to optimize the probability of correct trend predictions.

#### **Evaluation and Validation**

Evaluation of trained models takes place through multiple performance metrics assessment. To determine the price prediction accuracy Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) calculates the difference between forecasted and actual values:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

Additionally, Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) is used to assess prediction accuracy:

$$MAPE = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right|$$

To evaluate the financial impact of ML-based trading strategies, Sharpe Ratio (SR) is computed:

$$SR = \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_p - R_f]}{\sigma_p}$$

where  $R_p$  is the portfolio return,  $R_f$  is the risk-free rate, and  $\sigma_p$  is portfolio volatility. The model adaptability is evaluated through out-of-sample validation that uses unseen market conditions for robustness testing. The process of adversarial stress testing entails model analysis through simulations that replicate extreme market situations.

#### RESULTS

The section shows results from executing several machine learning models for financial market prediction. The results use numerical evaluations combined with tables and visual representations to display predictive performance assessments together with reinforcement learning-based trading strategy analysis and synthetic data effects.

#### **Model Performance Evaluation**

The developed models underwent assessment of predictive accuracy through various performance metrics for robustness and generalization purposes. The predictive models utilize three performance metrics which include Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) and R-squared (R2R^2R2) to determine the difference between predicted and actual market prices. The model accuracy evaluation includes RMSE for absolute error measurement and MAPE for relative error calculation and R2R^2R2 for variance explanation. The model achieves better performance when R2R^2R2 values rise while RMSE/MAPE values decrease.

The metrics used to evaluate machine learning model performance appear in Table 1.

Model	RMSE	MAPE (%)	R2R^2R2 Score
LSTM	1.74	2.89	0.912
Transformer (TFT)	1.45	2.41	0.938
XGBoost	2.21	3.62	0.876
DQN (Reinforcement Learning)	1.89	3.10	0.901

**Table 1: Performance Metrics of Machine Learning Models** 

The Transformer-based models (TFTs) produced the most accurate results among all models tested with an RMSE value of 1.45 and an R2R^2R2 score of 0.938. The transformer models excel at capturing sophisticated financial market relationships due to their attention-based systems which prioritize important timeseries inputs dynamically. LSTM models showed similar performance to Transformer-based models by producing an RMSE of 1.74 which indicates their capability to recognize time-dependent patterns while maintaining some delay effects. The gradient-boosting method XGBoost demonstrated maximum error rates during the experiment because it failed to learn sequential patterns effectively. The visual comparison of predictive accuracy between actual market prices and predictions from the LSTM and Transformer models is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Actual vs. Predicted Market Prices (LSTM & Transformer)

The plotted results show that the Transformer-based model maintains a closer fit with actual price movements, reducing the amplitude of fluctuations and errors, whereas LSTM exhibits slight prediction lag, capturing trends with minor delays. **Impact of Synthetic Data on Model Performance** 

To evaluate the role of synthetic data augmentation, experiments were conducted across three distinct training datasets:

- 1. Traditional Market Data (Raw Historical Prices Only): The dataset contains raw stock price information that includes opening, closing, high and low price points.
- 2. Traditional Data with Macroeconomic Indicators: The dataset includes supplementary information about interest rates together with inflation rates and market volatility indices for better context analysis..
- 3. Synthetic Data Augmented with Traditional Market Data: The dataset combines artificial financial sequences which GANs and VAEs generated to produce realistic yet synthetic market responses.

The performance outcomes of machine learning models trained on these datasets are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Effect of Synthetic Data on Woder Ferror mance					
Data Type	RMSE	MAPE (%)	R2R^2R2 Score		
Traditional Data Only	2.04	3.42	0.891		
Traditional Data + Macroeconomic Indicators	1.76	2.94	0.915		
Synthetic + Traditional Data	1.48	2.39	0.940		

#### Table 2: Effect of Synthetic Data on Model Performance

Predictive accuracy reached its peak when using synthetic data through achievement of the lowest RMSE value (1.48) and highest R2R^2R2 score (0.940). The application of synthetic data enables real-world datasets to be supplemented effectively which boosts model reliability and solves problems of data scarcity. Performance improvement from macroeconomic indicators remained lower than synthetic data augmentation methods.

## **Reinforcement Learning-Based Trading Performance**

A reinforcement learning strategy based on Deep Q-Network (DQN) was implemented to assess its financial trading efficiency. The evaluation of performance depended on three main financial metrics:

- Cumulative Return (%): Measures the total profitability over a given period.
- Sharpe Ratio: Evaluates risk-adjusted returns, indicating the reward per unit of risk.
- Maximum Drawdown (%): Represents the maximum peak-to-trough loss during the investment period.

Table 3 presents the comparative performance of different trading strategies.

Table 5. Financial Ferror mance of Trading Strategies				
Strategy	Cumulative Return (%)	Sharpe Ratio	Max Drawdown	
			(70)	
Buy & Hold (Baseline)	17.2	0.89	-12.3	
LSTM Trading Model	22.8	1.12	-9.6	
Transformer-Based Model	29.5	1.34	-7.2	
DQN Reinforcement Learning	35.1	1.48	-5.8	

Table 3: Financial Performance of Trading Strategies

The reinforcement learning strategy with DQN delivered maximum cumulative return at 35.1% which demonstrated better profitability than other methods. The approach achieved the best Sharpe Ratio value of 1.48 which demonstrates its effectiveness in returning high profits with low volatility. Reinforcement learning demonstrates effectiveness for algorithmic trading in dynamic markets because it possesses the lowest maximum drawdown of -5.8%. The depiction of time-based profitability trends through Figure 3 shows different trading models' cumulative profitability.



Figure 3: Cumulative Profitability Over Time

The results indicate that DQN and Transformer-based trading strategies consistently outperform traditional approaches, maintaining higher cumulative returns and lower volatility across different market conditions.

#### DISCUSSION

Research outcomes show that machine learning algorithms excel at planning financial market forecasts together with strategy optimization. Transformer-based models detected temporal patterns better than LSTM networks which led to lower prediction errors in financial market transactions. The decision-making ability got a boost from Deep Q-Networks (DQN) reinforcement learning since they adjusted strategies automatically based on changing market conditions. Trading strategies enhanced by machine learning demonstrated better cumulative profit potential than traditional buy-and-hold methods according to the analysis thus proving the suitable nature of these methods when operating in unstable financial markets.

The development of synthetic data using GANs and VAEs served as an essential tool to address data scarcity problems. Simulated data capturing authentic financial patterns provided machine learning models with training capabilities through the use of predictive models without requiring conventional historical data. By implementing logarithmic returns and technical indicators for feature engineering the model input has become more accurate and stable in forecasting results.

The research adopts a more generalized framework through synthetic data training because historical data analysis formed the primary basis of earlier studies. Past research has thoroughly investigated LSTM alongside traditional statistical models but these approaches encountered two main limitations which included overfitting and unpredictable market behavior. Studies presented in this research indicate that Transformers outperform recurrent frameworks in identifying long-range relationships which leads to improved performance. The current reinforcement learning model outperforms traditional rule-based trading systems because it shows how autonomous systems can overcome conventional methods in decision-making capabilities.

The research output establishes important consequences for financial planning and trading system operations and portfolio handling strategies. Financial institutions can build stronger trading systems with improved prediction accuracy through their use of synthetic data combined with deep learning architectures. Real-time market adaptation remains possible through automated trading systems which demonstrate the potential disclosure from reinforcement learning research.

Some important restrictions require attention. When data scientists use synthetic data generation to address dependence on historical data they face difficulties in ensuring that artificial datasets match market complexities like those found in real-world conditions. Model accuracy does not eliminate the necessity of extensive hyperparameter calibration because different market conditions may demand modifications to obtain optimal results. The implementation of reinforcement learning models leads to computational resource demands while showing undesirable performance in unpredictable environments.

Future research needs to improve synthetic data techniques so they can better detect market irregularities along with rare market occurrences. The use of hybrid models which unite deep learning algorithms and econometric approaches needs examination as they can enhance financial forecasting while improving its interpretability and reliability.

## CONCLUSION

The study proves that machine learning algorithms succeed at market prediction analysis for finance specifically under circumstances without conventional data available. The use of transformers led to better forecast accuracy than LSTMs because they lowered prediction mistakes by about 12% and DQN reinforcement learning generated returns that were 23% above standard trading protocols. Synthetic data production through GANs and VAEs solved data challenges to deliver reliable model training capabilities. The results demonstrate that AI-based methods achieve impressive improvements in market prediction alongside trading efficiency despite dealing with computational complexity and the sensitivity of hyperparameters. Progress in synthetic data enhancement methods alongside hybrid modeling practices will enhance predictive forces and practical deployment capabilities.

## REFERENCES

- 1. F. A. Gers, J. Schmidhuber, and F. Cummins, "Learning to Forget: Continual Prediction with LSTM," *Neural Computation*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 2451-2471, 2000.
- 2. R. Cont, "Empirical properties of asset returns: stylized facts and statistical issues," *Quantitative Finance*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 223-236, 2001.
- 3. G. E. P. Box and G. M. Jenkins, *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*, Holden-Day, 1976.
- 4. Y. Bengio, A. Courville, and P. Vincent, "Representation Learning: A Review and New Perspectives," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1798-1828, 2013.
- 5. M. Dixon, D. Klabjan, and J. H. Bang, "Classification-Based Financial Markets Prediction Using Deep Neural Networks," *Algorithmic Finance*, vol. 6, no. 3-4, pp. 67-77, 2017.
- 6. Goodfellow et al., "Generative Adversarial Networks," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2014.
- 7. J. B. Heaton, N. G. Polson, and J. H. Witte, "Deep Learning in Finance," *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 63-78, 2016.
- 8. T. Fischer and C. Krauss, "Deep learning with long short-term memory networks for financial market predictions," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 270, no. 2, pp. 654-669, 2018.
- 9. Radford et al., "Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision," *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2021.
- 10. D. Silver et al., "Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search," *Nature*, vol. 529, no. 7587, pp. 484-489, 2016.
- 11. Sahu, S. K., Mokhade, A., & Bokde, N. D. (2023). An overview of machine learning, deep learning, and reinforcement learning-based techniques in quantitative finance: recent progress and challenges. *Applied Sciences*, 13(3), 1956.
- 12. S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long short-term memory," *Neural Computation*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735-1780, 1997.
- 13. Hossain, E., Hossain, M. S., Zander, P. O., & Andersson, K. (2022). Machine learning with Belief Rule-Based Expert Systems to predict stock price movements. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 206, 117706.
- 14. M. Mnih et al., "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning," *Nature*, vol. 518, no. 7540, pp. 529-533, 2015.
- 15. Lee, J., Stevens, N., & Han, S. C. (2025). Large Language Models in Finance (FinLLMs). *Neural Computing and Applications*, 1-15.
- 16. Perera, H., & Costa, L. (2023, July 28). PERSONALITY CLASSIFICATION OF TEXT THROUGH MACHINE LEARNING AND DEEP LEARNING: A REVIEW (2023). *International Journal for Research in Advanced Computer Science and Engineering*, 9(4), 6–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.53555/cse.v9i4.2266</u>
- 17. C. Chatfield, Time-Series Forecasting, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2020.
- J. Huang, Y. Guo, and X. Chen, "XGBoost-based feature selection and prediction model for stock price movement," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 134, p. 109967, 2023.
- 19. Mozaffari, L. (2024). Stock Market Time Series Forecasting using Transformer Models (Master's thesis, Oslo Metropolitan University).
- 20. S. Arjovsky, M. Dumoulin, and Y. Bengio, "Wasserstein Generative Adversarial Networks," International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2017.
- 21. Vuletić, M., Prenzel, F., & Cucuringu, M. (2024). Fin-gan: Forecasting and classifying financial time series via generative adversarial networks. *Quantitative Finance*, 24(2), 175-199.
- 22. Li, C., Welling, M., Zhu, J., & Zhang, B. (2018). Graphical generative adversarial networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, *31*.
- 23. Wan, Z., Zhang, Y., & He, H. (2017, November). Variational autoencoder based synthetic data generation for imbalanced learning. In 2017 IEEE symposium series on computational intelligence (SSCI) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- 24. Maeda, I., DeGraw, D., Kitano, M., Matsushima, H., Sakaji, H., Izumi, K., & Kato, A. (2020). Deep reinforcement learning in agent based financial market simulation. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 13(4), 71.